Komatiites and the Continental Lithospheric Mantle

Nicholas Arndt ISTerre, University of Grenoble

Characteristics of Komatiites

- Ultramafic volcanic rocks (lavas, high-level intrusions). Minor component (0-20%) of Archean greenstone belts; rare to absent in younger belts.
- Mineralogy: olivine (chromite) + late-crystallizing clinopyroxene (rare opx); olivine Fo₉₅₋₈₀
- Chemical composition: several types (1) Aldepleted komatiites have garnet subtraction signature; (2) Al-undepleted komatiites no garnet signature, depletion of incompatible elements
- Origin: high-degree melting deep in the mantle

Spinifex textured komatiite

Big olivine plates -



Munro, Canada, 2.7 Ma

Komatiites: evidence of a hot Archean mantle





Spinifex-textured komatiite from Zimbabwe - ~22% MgO

Quenched flow top from Alexo, Canada - ~28% MgO

28% MgO --> 1560°C eruption temperature (cf 1200°C for basalt)

A komatiite lava flow

Flow top

base of spinifex zone

base of flow



Differentiation of a komatiite flow



Calculated MgO contents and eruption temperatures



Eruption temperatures calculated using using $T(^{\circ}C) = MgO_{liq} * 20 + 1000$ Herzberg, (2008)

Source temperatures



Herzberg, Condie, Korenaga (2010)



Origin of komatiite magma - trace-element data provide some clues



Al-depleted komatiite: depletion of heavy rare-earth elements (HREE) indicates that garnet was left in the residue

Al-enriched komatiite: HREE enrichment indicates no garnet in the residue; depletion of incompatible elements means previous melt extraction

The main types of komatiite form under contrasting conditions





Komatiite with >30% MgO forms either:

- by high-degree melting at shallow depth
- by low-degree melting at great depth

Presence of residual garnet indicates melting at great depth



Formation of Al-depleted komatiite



Formation of Al-enriched komatiite

Stage 3 Munro-type komatite melt Stage 2 separates from a Fractional meltrefractory, garneting continues; free source Stage 1 melt escapes from an increas-Ascent of partially ingly refractory molten plume. A residue small fraction of melt (1-2%) escapes leaving more refractory residue āoi > āmet ãol ~ āmet

Christophe Robin (PhD 2011) modelling of melting in a deep plume



Christophe Robin (PhD 2011) modelling of melting in a deep plume





Liquid outer core

Differentiation in a deep rising mantle plume



Conclusions - first part

- Komatiites form by melting deep in the mantle (> 300 km)
- They provide evidence of a hot mantle source in the Archean
- They separated from their source at depths well below the lithosphere
- Details of melt-extraction process remain to be resolved

Characteristics of the Continental Lithospheric Mantle

- Mainly peridotite (±pyroxenite ±eclogite)
- Archean lithospheric mantle
 - harzburgite with Fo-rich olivine (Fo₉₀₋₉₄) and abundant orthopyroxene
 - Low concentrations in incompatible trace elements and usually no "subduction signature"

Proterozoic and Phanerozoic lithospheric mantle

- Iherzolite with olivine + orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + garnet or spinel
- More Fe-rich compositions (Fo₈₈₋₉₀), more "fertile" composition = more abundant clinopyroxene and garnet/spinel; more abundant incompatible trace elements

Characteristics of the Continental Lithospheric Mantle

Age of lithospheric mantle ~ age of overlying crust

- Lithosphere was stable over billions of years, despite being colder than surrounding mantle
- To survive for billions of years, it must have been inherently less dense and more viscous --> anhydrous highly magnesian olivine ± opx



Olivine Mode (wt. %)

Origin and Evolution of Continental Lithospheric Mantle

- 1. How did the lithospheric mantle form?
- 2. How did it survive?
- 3. What has happened to it since its formation?

How is a vast volume of rock composed essentially of magnesian olivine (Fo_{>92}) ± opx formed?

Minerals with these compositions are rare in the mantle



How is a vast volume of rock composed essentially of magnesian olivine (Fo_{>92}) ± opx formed?

Possibilities:

- 1. Residue of high-degree melting, as during the formation of komatiite in a mantle plume
- 2. Stacking of slabs of ocean lithosphere
- 3. Residue of water-fluxed melting in a subduction zone

In either case, the magnesian minerals must be sorted from the products of lower-degree melting a. Mantle plume



Polybaric melting

Formation of abundant anhydrous refractory harzburgite or dunite

Increasing Mg# with shallowing depth

Isopicnicity (gravitational stability) possible from the outset b. Stacking/accretion of oceanic lithosphere



c. Reprocessing in subduction zones



Vertical scale exaggerated

Predictions

Low-pressure melting

Low proportion of refractory harzburgite or dunite

Large variety of rock types, abundant eclogite

No systematic stratification of Mg# with depth

Unlikely to be neutrally buoyant from the outset - unless there was internal reorganization of density or large amounts of eclogite were lost Low-pressure melting

Formation of abundant refractory harzburgite or dunite

Increasing Mg# with shallower depth - reorganization required to achieve gravitational stability

Possibility that peridotitic residue was hydrous and contained a subduction geochemical signature

Melting in a mantle plume

Ocean island



Tp 1600°C

Melting in a mantle plume



At least half the residue results from low-degree melting: it contains olivine with <90% Fo

Melting at a mid-ocean ridge



Tp 1600°C

a. Mantle plume



Polybaric melting

Formation of abundant anhydrous refractory harzburgite or dunite

Increasing Mg# with shallowing depth

Isopicnicity (gravitational stability) possible from the outset b. Stacking/accretion of oceanic lithosphere



c. Reprocessing in subduction zones



Vertical scale exaggerated

Predictions

Low-pressure melting

Low proportion of refractory harzburgite or dunite

Large variety of rock types, abundant eclogite

No systematic stratification of Mg# with depth

Unlikely to be neutrally buoyant from the outset - unless there was internal reorganization of density or large amounts of eclogite were lost Low-pressure melting

Formation of abundant refractory harzburgite or dunite

Increasing Mg# with shallower depth - reorganization required to achieve gravitational stability

Possibility that peridotitic residue was hydrous and contained a subduction geochemical signature

The alternative: lithosphere forms in hot Archean subduction zones



Arguments against a subduction origin of lithospheric mantle

1. Absence of the "subduction signature"

2. Requirement that lithosphere is anhydrous

Formation of continental lithosphere the waste-disposal problem



Archean lithosphere is a sandwich of rare materials: low-temperature distillates (granite), and high-temperature refractory residues (harzburgite)

Synneusis - the "floating together" of the least dense fractions left after mantle melting

- 1. Continental crust the distillate of mantle melting processes
- 2. Continental lithospheric mantle the most refractory fraction of the residue of melting

The denser components – residue of low-degree melting, slabs of oceanic lithosphere, etc, founder during deformation because of their high density Formation
of proto lithosphere



2. Founderingof densephases



High temperatures in Archean cratonic mantle



Marescal et al (2009)







2. Erosion or deformation of the lithosphere (convection during the Archean)

> 3. Relaxation; accumulation of light olivine



Conclusions - second part

- Cratonic lithosphere is an accumulation of rare mantle phases - Fo ± opx
- No model can generate their composition by purely petrochemical processes – density sorting is required
- Continental lithosphere is a sandwich of low-density phases that are stable near the surface
- Reworking of the lithosphere crust and mantle – continues through geological time

The wet komatiite hypothesis, as originally formulated by de Wit *et al.* (1987), Parman *et al.* (1997) & Grove *et al.* (1997)

Komatiites from the Barberton greenstone belt in South Africa intruded as hydrous magma at ~6 km depth in a basaltic lava pile Principal arguments for the hypothesis

- Spinifex texture is not reproduced in dynamic cooling experiments on anhydrous komatiite
- Intrusive contacts at the margins of komatiite units
- Some komatiites are vesicular
- Pyroxene have high Wo contents consistent with crystallization in hydrous magma at 1-2 kbar pressure.

Spinifex texture does not form in experiments on anhydrous komatiite; olivine morphologies deep in the interior of komatiite units correspond to impossibly high cooling rates







Natural spinifex from 1m below flow top; cooling rate >5°C/h

Spinifex in experiments (Ginibre, 1987) 150°C/h

Faure *et al.*'s experiments (J Petrol. 2005)

Experiments conducted in the thermal gradient of a furnace to reproduce conditions in the crust of a lava flow





In the presence of a thermal gradient, spinifex is reproduced experimentally



Vesicular komatiites exist but they are relatively rare and vesicles are never very abundant





Jesse Dann's (2000) mapping of Barberton komatiites

В.

Barberton komatiites are lava flows, not intrusions. The arguments for crystallization at moderate pressure are incorrect







Barberton komatiites have high Si and low Ti, approaching those of boninites Some komatiitic basalts have trace element patterns like some boninites

XX



Mantle-normalised trace elements



Pyroxene compositions (Parman et al. 1997)



Compositions of some augites in Barberton komatiites correspond to those of pyroxene that crystallizes in experiments on hydrous komatiite at 100-200 MPa